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Abstract—The primary purpose of the AGC is to balance the total system generation against system load and losses so that the desired frequency and power interchange with interconnected systems are maintained. Any mismatch between generation and demand causes the system frequency to change from scheduled value. Thus high frequency deviation may lead to system collapse. Power system operation at a lower frequency affects the quality of power supply and if the frequency is not maintained within the scheduled values then it may first on the way to tripping of the lines then system collapse as well as blackouts.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The automatic generation control (AGC) considering generator rate constraints of interconnected power systems with combination of the automatic load frequency control (LFC) and market based deregulated system. The primary purpose of the AGC is to balance the total system generation against system load and losses so that the desired frequency and power interchange with interconnected systems are maintained. Any mismatch between generation and demand causes the system frequency to change from scheduled value. Thus high frequency deviation may lead to system collapse [1].

Power system operation at a lower frequency affects the quality of power supply and if the frequency is not maintained within the scheduled values then it may first on the way to tripping of the lines then system collapse as well as blackouts. Objective of an LFC are to be maintaining area frequencies and tie-line power at their scheduled values in deregulated system [2][3]. With use of super magnetic energy storage (SMES) suppress power oscillations in system and in single attempt to improve the frequency control performance of an interconnected system in deregulated power system environment.

The automatic load frequency control loop is mainly associated with the large size generators. The main aim of the automatic load frequency control (ALFC) can be to maintain the desired unvarying frequency, so as to divide loads among generators in addition to managing the exchange of tie line power in accordance to the scheduled values. Power system operation considered so far was under conditions of steady load. However, both and reactive power demands are never steady and they continually change with the rising or falling trend. Steam input to turbo generators (or water input to hydro-generators) must, therefore, be continuously regulated to match the active power demand, failing which may be highly undesirable (maximum permissible change in power frequency is ±0.5 Hz)[6]. Also the excitation of generators must be continuously regulated to match the reactive power demand with reactive generation, otherwise the voltages at various system buses may go beyond the prescribed limits. In modern large interconnected system, manual regulation is not feasible and therefore automatic generation and voltage regulation equipment is installed on each generator.[7]
II. SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNETIC ENERGY STORAGE

Fig. 1. SMES circuit diagram

Since fast-acting energy storage devices provide storage capacity, they can be considered for effectively suppressing such oscillations in the power system as and when required. A Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage (SMES) is a device for storing and instantaneously discharging large quantities of power. It stores energy in the magnetic field created by the flow of DC in a coil of superconducting material that has been cryogenically cooled since direct current flows with almost zero losses in superconductors. SMES is very efficient with an energy efficiency of about 97% as there is no conversion of energy from one form to another, the cost per unit of stored energy decreases as storage capacity increases, the number of charge-discharge cycles obtainable can be huge which means longer life, has extremely short charge and discharge times which can be further accelerated to meet specific requirements depending on system capacity, has no moving parts (except in the refrigeration system), has high power density and has smaller size and reduced weight.

The SMES unit contains a DC superconducting coil and a 12-pulse converter, which are connected to grid through a Y-Δ/Y-Y transformer. The superconducting coil can be charged to a set value from the utility grid during steady state operation of the power system. The DC magnetic coil is connected to grid via inverter/rectifier arrangement. The charged superconducting coil conducts current which is immersed in a tank containing helium. The energy exchange between the superconducting coil and the electric power system is controlled by a line commutated converter. When there is a sudden rise in the load demand, the stored energy is almost released through the converter to the power system as alternating current. As the governor and other control mechanisms start working to set the power system to the new equilibrium condition, the coil current changes back to its initial value and are similar for sudden release of load.

A. Control Of SMES Unit

DC voltage $E_d$ across the superconducting inductor is continuously varied during the LFC operation based area control error. Moreover inductor current deviation is used as a negative feedback signal in the SMES control loop. Hence the inductor current has to bring back to its nominal value at its steady state. If the load demand changes suddenly, the feedback provides the prompt restoration of current. After load disturbance, inductor current has to be restored to its nominal value as fast as possible so that it can respond to the next load disturbance immediately. Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of SMES unit. The equations governing the control unit of SMES is given as follows [6]. The deviation in inductor voltage,

$$\Delta E_d = \frac{K_{SMES}}{1 + sT_{DC}} \Delta E_r$$

Where $T_{DC}$ is converter time delay, $K_{SMES}$ gain of control loop the inductor current is given by

$$\Delta I_d = \left(\frac{\Delta E_d}{s}\right)$$

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of SMES
III. AGC SYSTEM INVESTIGATED

The Power system comprising a two are system contain of thermal and hydro power plant for simulation studies. Fig 3 show linearized transfer function model of interconnected power system with two area with multi source power generation with SMES. KI1 and KI2 are the integral gain settings in area 1 and area 2 respectively. αf1, αf2 are gain factors of area- 1 and αf21 and αf22 are gain factors of area- 2 it noted that αf11 + αf12=1 and αf21 + αf22=1. The system parameters are given in appendix 1..the standard for of the system can be expressed as

\[ X = AX + BU + \varphi p \] (3)

Where X, U and p are state, control and load disturbance factors input vectors respectively whereas A, B and \( \varphi \) are the respective matrices of dimensions

\[ X = [\Delta f_1 \quad \Delta P_f \quad \Delta PTR_1 \quad \Delta P_T \quad \Delta PG_2 \quad \Delta PRH_1 \quad \Delta P_GH_1 \quad \Delta PG_3 \quad \Delta PF_1 \quad \Delta PYG_1 \quad \Delta Pbg_1 \quad \Delta f_2 \quad \Delta PG_4 \quad \Delta PTR_2 \quad \Delta PT_2 \quad \Delta PG_5 \quad \Delta PRH_2 \quad \Delta P_GH_2 \quad \Delta PG_6 \quad \Delta PF_2 \quad \Delta PYG_2 \quad \Delta Pbg_2 \quad \Delta P_{tie12} \quad \Delta Id \quad \Delta Ed]^T \] (4)

\[ U = [u_1 \quad u_2]^T \] (5)

\[ p = [\Delta PD_1 \quad \Delta PD_2]^T \] (6)

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The results are simulated of the two area interconnected with diverse sources. The load disconcretion of 1% consider in either area 1 or area 2. SMES Placed in series with the area 1 near the tie line the integral step size of 0.01 is considered for simulation results. The simulation results are given below
(a) Deviation in frequency of area 1

(b) Deviation in frequency of area 2

(c) Tie line power deviation

fig 4 variation in area frequency of (f1 and f2) and tie line power (P12) when 1% load change in area 1
(A) Deviation in frequency of area 1

(B) Deviation in frequency of area 2

(C) Deviation in tie line power

fig 5 variation in area frequency of (f1 and f2) and tie line power (P12) when 1% load change in area 2

Table 1 integral gain setting with and without SMES for 1% step load in either of the areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>K11</th>
<th>K11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITHOUT SMES</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMES in area 1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMES in area 2</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMES in Both area</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.2879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

The simulation is carried out in MATLAB and according to the waveform it is verified that inclusion of SMES in the existing system improves the dynamic performance of AGC. When SMES placed in both areas, there is not much improvement in the system performance in comparison with SMES in either of the areas it clearly visible in fig. Due to economic reasons, it is advisable to consider SMES in any of the areas.
VI. APPENDIX

1) System Data [3]

\[ \text{Prt} = 2000 \text{MW} \]
\[ \text{PL} = 1640 \text{MW} \]
\[ f = 50 \text{Hz} \]
\[ H = 5 \text{MW} \cdot \text{s/MV A} \]
\[ D = \left( \frac{\partial \text{PL}}{\partial f} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\text{Prt}} \right) \text{puMW/Hz} \]
\[ \text{KP} = \frac{1}{D} \text{Hz/puMW} \]
\[ TP = \frac{2.4H}{fD} \text{s} \]
\[ TT = 0.3s \]
\[ \text{RTH} = \text{RHY} = \text{RG} = R = 2.4 \text{Hz/puMW} \]
\[ \text{KR} = 0.3 \]
\[ TR = 10s \]
\[ TW = 1.0s \]
\[ \text{TRH} = 28.75s \]
\[ TF = 1.0s \]
\[ \text{TCR} = 0.01s \]
\[ \text{TCD} = 0.2s \]

2) SMES Data [4]

\[ L = 2.65 \text{H} \]
\[ \text{TDC} = 0.03s \]
\[ K_{\text{SMES}} = 100 \text{kV/unitMW} \]
\[ K_{\text{id}} = 0.2 \text{kV/kA} \]
\[ I_{\text{d0}} = 4.5 \text{kA} \]
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