

# THE GENERALIZED HYERS –ULAM –RASSIAS STABILITY OF QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS WITH TWO VARIABLES

PARIMALA.P.M

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR  
KG COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, COIMBATORE

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, we consider functional equations involving a two variables examine some of these equations in greater detail and we study applications of cauchy's equation.using the generalized hyers-ulam-rassias stability of quadratic functional equations finding the solution of two variables(quadratic functional equations)

## 1.INTRODUCTION

We achieve the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stabilities for quadratic functional equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) &= \left(\frac{b(a+b)}{2}\right)f(x+y) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{b(a+b)}{2}\right)f(x-y) + \\
 &+(2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) + \\
 &(b^2 - ab)f(y) \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b$  are nonzero fixed integers with  $b \neq \pm a, -3a$ , and

$$f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) = 2a^2f(x) + 2b^2f(y) \tag{2}$$

for fixed integers  $a, b$  with  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $a \pm b \neq 0$ .

In 1940, Ulam[19] proposed the stability problem for functional equations in the following question regarding to the stability of group homomorphism.

Let  $(G_1, \cdot)$  be a group and let  $(G_2, *)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $\delta > 0$ , such that if a mapping  $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x \cdot y), h(x) * h(y)) < \delta,$$

for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $H: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } x \in G_1$$

In other words, under the conditions does a homomorphism exist near an approximately homomorphism generally, the concept of stability for a functional equation comes up when we the functional equation is replaced by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of that equation. Hyers [7] answered to the question affirmatively in 1941 so if  $f: E \rightarrow E$  such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E, \tag{3}$$

and for some  $\delta > 0$  where  $E, E$  are Banach spaces; then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T: E \rightarrow E$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta, \quad \text{for all } x \in E. \tag{4}$$

However, if  $f(tx)$  is a continuous mapping at  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E$  then  $T$  is linear. In 1950, Hyers's theorem was generalized by Aoki for additive mappings and

independently, in 1978, by Rassias [15] for linear mappings considering the Cauchy difference controlled by sum of powers of norms. This stability phenomenon is called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

On the other hand, Rassias [15,16] considered the Cauchy difference controlled by a product of different powers of norm. However, there was a singular case; for this singularity a counterexample was given by Gavruta. This stability phenomenon is called the Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability. In addition, J.M. Rassias considered the mixed product-sum of powers of norms control function. This stability is called JM Rassias mixed product-sum stability.

The functional equation  $f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$ , (5)

is related to symmetric biadditive function and is called a quadratic functional equation naturally, and every solution of the quadratic equation (3.1.3) is said to be a quadratic function. It is well known that a function  $f$  between two real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function  $B$  such that  $f(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x$  where

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x + y) - f(x - y))$$

(see [17]). Skof proved Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for quadratic functional equation for a class of functions  $f: A \rightarrow B$ , where  $A$  is normed space and  $B$  is a Banach space, (see [17]. Cholewa [3] noticed that Skof's theorem is still true if relevant domain  $A$  alters to an abelian group. In 1992, Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of (1.3), Grabiec [6] generalized the result mentioned above.

Throughout this chapter, assume that  $a, b$  are fixed integers with  $a, b \neq 0$ , we introduce the following functional equations, which are different from

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) &= \frac{b(a+b)}{2}f(x + y) + \\
 \frac{b(a+b)}{2}f(x - y) &+ (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) + \\
 (b^2 - ab)f(y), & \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $b \neq \pm a, -3a$ , and (1.3)

$$f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) = 2a^2f(x) + 2b^2f(y), \tag{7}$$

where  $b \neq \pm a$ .

### 1.1 Banach Space

A Banach space is a vector space  $X$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers, which is equipped with a norm and which is complete with every Cauchy sequence,  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ , there exists an element  $x$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0,$$

(or equivalently)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|_x = 0.$$

The vector space structure allows one to relate the behaviour of Cauchy sequences to that of converging series of vectors. A normed space  $X$  is a Banach space. If and only if each absolutely convergent series  $X$  converges.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|V_n\|_x < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \text{ converges in } X.$$

Completeness of a normed space preserved if the given norm is replaced by an equivalent one.

All norms on a finite – dimensional vector space are equivalent. Every finite – dimensional normed space over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  is a Banach space.

**1.2. Solution of (1.5), (1.6)**

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces. We here present the general solution of (1.5), (1.6).

**Theorem 1.2.1**

A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.3) if and only if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.5). Therefore, every solution of functional equation (1.5) is also a quadratic function.

**Proof.**

Let  $f$  satisfy the functional equation (1.3). Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (1.3), we get  $f(0) = 0$ . Set  $x = 0$  in (1.3) to get  $f(-y) = f(y)$ . Letting  $y = x$  and  $y = 2x$  in (1.3), respectively, we obtain that  $f(2x) = 4f(x)$  and  $f(3x) = 9f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . By induction, we lead to  $f(kx) = k^2f(x)$  for all positive integers  $k$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $2x + y$  and  $2x - y$  in (1.3), respectively, gives

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 8f(x) + 2f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Using (1.3) and (1.2), we lead to

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 4f(x) - 2f(y) \quad (1.2.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $k \neq 0$  is a fixed integer by using (1.2.2), we get  $kf(+y) + kf(-y) - 2kf(x) - 2kf(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . (1.2.3)

get Using (1.2.2) and (1.2.3) we obtain

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = (2 + k)f(x + y) + (2 + k)f(x - y) + 2(2 - k)f(x) - 2(1 + k)f(y) \quad (1.2.4)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $3x + y$  and  $3x - y$  in (1.3), respectively, then using (1.3) and (2.3), we have

$$f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) = (3 + k)f(x + y) + (3 + k)f(x - y) + 2(6 - k)f(x) - 2(2 + k)f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (1.2.5)$$

By using the above method, by

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax + y) + f(ax - y) &= (a + k)f(x + y) + (a + k)f(x - y) \\ &\quad + 2(a^2 - a - k)f(x) - 2(a1)f(y) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.6)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$

induction, we infer that and each positive integer  $a \geq 1$ .

for a negative integer  $a \leq -1$ , replacing  $a$  by  $-a$  one can easily prove the validity of (2.6). Therefore (1.2.3) implies (1.2.6) for any integer  $a \neq 0$ . First,

$$f(bx + y) + f(bx - y) = (b + k)f(x + y) + (b + k)f(x - y) + 2(b^2 - b - k)f(x) - 2(b + k - 1)f(y) \quad (1.2.7)$$

it is noted that (1.2.6) also implies the following equation for all integers  $b \neq 0$ . Setting  $y = 0$  in (1.2.7) gives  $f(bx) = b^2f(x)$ . Substituting  $y$  with  $by$  into (1.2.7), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} (b + k)f(x + by) + (b + k)f(x - by) \\ = b^2f(x + y) + b^2f(x - y) \end{aligned}$$

$$-2(b^2 - b - k)f(x) + 2b^2(b + k - 1)f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \quad (1.2.8)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $by$  in (1.2.6). We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) &= (a + k)f(x + by) \\ &\quad + (a + k)f(x - by) \\ &\quad + 2(a^2 - a - k)f(x) - 2(a + k - 1)f(by) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.9)$$

Hence, according to (1.2.8) and (1.2.9), we get

$$(b + k)f(ax + by) + (b + k)f(ax - by) = b^2(a + k)f(x + y) + b^2(a + k)f(x - y) + 2(a^2(b + k) - b^2(a + k))f(x) - 2b^2(a - b)f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, if we substitute  $k = b$  in (1.2.10) and dividing it by  $2b$ , we conclude that  $f$  satisfies (1.2.5)

Let  $f$  satisfy the functional equation (1.2.5), for nonzero fixed integers  $a, b$  with  $b \neq \pm a, -3a$ . Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (1.2.5), we get

$$(2a^2 - ba + b^2 - 2)f(0) = 0,$$

So

$$\left(2a - \frac{b + \sqrt{16 - 7b^2}}{2}\right) \left(a - \frac{b - \sqrt{16 - 7b^2}}{4}\right) f(0) = 0,$$

but since  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $b \neq \pm a, -3a$ ,

therefore  $f(0) = 0$ . Setting  $y = 0$  in (1.5) gives  $f(ax) = a^2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Letting  $y = -y$  in (1.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax - by) + f(ax + by) &= \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(x - y) + \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(x \\ &\quad + y) \\ &\quad + (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) + (b^2 - ab)f(-y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1) \quad (2.13)$$

If we compare (1.5) with (2.13), then since  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $b \neq \pm a, -3a$ , we conclude that  $f(-y) = f(y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . Letting  $x = 0$  in (1.5) and using the evenness of  $f$  give  $f(by) = b^2f(y)$  for all  $y \in X$ .

Therefore for all  $x \in X$ , we get  $f(abx) = a^2b^2f(x)$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $bx$  and  $ay$  in (1.5), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^2b^2f(x + y) + a^2b^2f(x - y) &= \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(bx + ay) \\ &\quad + \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(bx - ay) \\ &\quad + b^2(2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) + \end{aligned}$$

$$a^2(b^2 - ab)f(y) \quad (1.2.14)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . On the other hand, if we interchange  $x$  with  $y$  in

$$\begin{aligned} f(ay + bx) + f(ay - bx) &= \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(y + x) + \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(-x) \\ &\quad + (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(y) + (b^2 - ab)f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.15)$$

(1.2.5), we obtain but since  $f$  is even, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(bx + ay) + f(bx - ay) &= \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(x + y) + \frac{b(a + b)}{2} f(-y) \\ &\quad + (b^2 - ab)f(x) + (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.16)$$

Hence, according to (1.2.14) and from (1.2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a^2b^2f(x+y) + a^2b^2f(x-y) \\
 &= \frac{b(a+b)}{2} \left[ \frac{b(a+b)}{2} (f(x+y) + f(x-y)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (b^2 - ab)f(x) + (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(y) \right] \\
 & \quad + b^2(2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) + a^2(b^2 - ab)f(y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.17}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . So from (1.2.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{b^2}{4} (4a^2 - (a+b)^2)(f(x+y) + f(x-y)) \\
 &= \frac{b^2}{2} (3a^2 - 2ab - b^2)f(x) \\
 & \quad + \frac{b^2}{2} (3a^2 - 2ab - b^2)f(y)
 \end{aligned}$$

we have (2.16), we obtain that for all  $x, y \in X$ . But since  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $b \neq \pm a, -3a$ , we conclude that

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1.2.19}$$

Therefore,  $f$  satisfies (1.2.3)

**Theorem 1.2.2**

A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1.3) if and only if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.1.6). Therefore, every solution of functional equation (1.1.6) is also a quadratic function.

**Proof.** If  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2.3), then  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2.5). Now combining (1.1.3) with (1.1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(ax+by) + f(ax-by) \\
 &= \frac{b(a+b)}{2} (2f(x) + 2f(y)) \\
 & \quad + (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) \\
 & \quad + (b^2 - ab)f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.
 \end{aligned}$$

So from (1.2.20), we conclude that  $f$  satisfies (1.1.6). Let  $f$  satisfy the functional equation (1.1.6) for fixed integers  $a, b$  with  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$  and  $a \pm b \neq 0$ . Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (1.6), we get  $(2(a^2 + b^2) - 2)f(0) = 0$ , and since  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ , therefore  $f(0) = 0$ . Setting  $y = 0$  in (1.1.6) gives  $f(ax) = a^2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Letting  $y := -y$  in (1.1.6), we have  $f(ax-by) + f(ax+by) = 2a^2f(x) + 2b^2f(-y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . (1.2.21)

If we compare (1.2.6) with (1.2.21), then since  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $a \pm b \neq 0$ , we obtain that  $f(-y) = f(y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . Letting  $x = 0$  in (1.2.6) and using the evenness of  $f$  gives  $f(by) = b^2f(y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . Therefore for  $x \in X$ , we get  $f(abx) = a^2b^2f(x)$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $bx$  and  $ay$  in (1.6), respectively, we have  $f(abx - aby) + f(abx + aby) = 2a^2f(bx) + 2b^2f(ay)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . (2.22)

Now, by using  $f(ax) = a^2f(x), f(bx) = b^2f(x)$  and  $f(abx) = a^2b^2f(x)$ , it follows from (2.22) that  $f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . (1.2.23)

Which completes the proof of the theorem.

**Corollary 2.3** (Proposition 2.1). A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the following functional equation:

$$f(ax+y) + f(ax-y) = 2a^2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1.2.24}$$

If and only if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.3) for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $b=1$  in functional equation (1.1.6) and apply Theorem (2.2).

**3.3. Stability**

We now investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stabilities problem for functional equations (1.5), (1.6). From this point on, let  $X$  be a real vector and let  $Y$  be a Banach space. Before taking up the main subject, we define the difference operator

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_f(x, y) = & f(ax+by) + f(ax-by) \\
 & - \frac{b(a+b)}{2} f(x+y) \\
 & - \frac{b(a+b)}{2} f(x-y) \\
 & - (2a^2 - ab - b^2)f(x) - (b^2 - ab)f(y)
 \end{aligned} \Delta_f: X \times X \rightarrow Y$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $a, b$  fixed integers such that  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $a \pm b \neq 0$  where  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a given function.

Let  $j \in \{-1, 1\}$  be fixed, and let  $\varphi: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that for all  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\varphi}(x) &= \sum_{i=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{2ij}} \varphi(a^{ij}x, 0) < \infty \\
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a^{2nj}} \varphi(a^{nj}x, a^{nj}y) &= 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

**Theorem 3.3.1**

Let  $j \in \{-1, 1\}$  be fixed, and let  $\varphi: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that for all  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfies

$$\|\Delta_f(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Furthermore, assume that  $f(0) = 0$  in (3.3.4) for the case  $j=1$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(2.20) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2a^{1+j}} \tilde{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{a^{(1-j)/2}}\right), \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

**Proof.** For  $j = 1$ , putting  $y = 0$  in (3.4), we have

$$\|2f(ax) - 2a^2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, 0) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

$$\text{So } \left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{a^2} f(ax) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2a^2} \varphi(x, 0) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \tag{3.7}$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $ax$  in (3.3.7) and dividing by  $a^2$  and summing the resulting inequality with (3.7), we get

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{a^4} f(a^2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2a^2} \left( \varphi(x, 0) + \frac{\varphi(ax, 0)}{a^2} \right) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{a^{2k}} f(a^kx) - \frac{1}{a^{2m}} f(a^mx) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2a^2} \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a^{2i}} \varphi(a^i x, 0)$$

nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $k$  with  $m > k$  and for all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (3.2) and (3.9) that the sequence  $\{(1/a^{2n})f(a^n x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{(1/a^2)f(a^n x)\}$  converges. So one can define the function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a^{2n}} f(a^n x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By (3.3.3) for  $j=1$  and (3.3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Delta_Q(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a^{2n}} \|\Delta_f(a^n x, a^n y)\| \\
 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a^{2n}} \varphi(a^n x, a^n y) = 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . So  $\Delta_Q(x, y) = 0$ . By Theorem (2.1), the function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  is quadratic. Moreover, letting  $k = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.9), we get the inequality (3.5) for  $j = 1$ .



Now, let  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  be another quadratic function satisfying (1.5) and (3.5).

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - Q(x)\| &= \frac{1}{a^{2n}} \|Q(a^n x) - Q(a^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a^{2n}} (\|Q(a^n x) - f(a^n x)\| + \|Q(a^n x) - f(a^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a^{2n}} \tilde{\varphi}(a^n x, 0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

which tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . So we can conclude that  $Q(x) = Q(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $Q$ .

Also, for  $j = -1$ , it follows from (3.3.6) that

$$\|f(x) - a^2 f(\frac{x}{a})\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi(\frac{x}{a}, 0) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|a^{2k} (f(\frac{x}{a^k}) - a^{2m} f(\frac{x}{a^m}))\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} a^{2i} \varphi(\frac{x}{a^{i+1}}, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.14}$$

for all nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $k$  with  $m > k$  and for all  $x \in X$ . It follows from (3.3.14) that the sequence  $\{a^{2n} f(x/a^n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, the sequence  $\{a^{2n} f(x/a^n)\}$  converges. So one can define the function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{2n} f(\frac{x}{a^n}) \text{ for all } x \in X. \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.3) for  $j=-1$  and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_Q(x, y)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{2n} \|\Delta_f(\frac{x}{a^n}, \frac{y}{a^n})\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{2n} \varphi(\frac{x}{a^n}, \frac{y}{a^n}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . So  $\Delta_Q(x, y) = 0$ . By Theorem (2.1), the function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  is quadratic. Moreover, letting  $k = 0$  and passing the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.14), we get the inequality (3.5) for  $j = -1$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of previous section.

From Theorem (3.1), we obtain the following corollaries concerning the JM Rassias mixed product-sum stability of the Functional equation (1.5).

**Corollary 3.3.2**

Let  $\varepsilon, p, q \geq 0$  and  $r, s > 0$  be real numbers such that  $p, q < 2$  and  $r + s \neq 2$ . Suppose that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies

$$\|\Delta_f(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^q + \|x\|^r \|y\|^s) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \tag{3.17}$$

Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(a^2 - a^p)} \|x\|^p \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**Proof.** In Theorem (3.1), put  $j = 1$  and  $\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^q + \|x\|^r \|y\|^s)$ .

**Corollary 3.3**

Let  $\varepsilon, p, q \geq 0$  and  $r, s > 0$  be real numbers such that  $p, q > 2$  and  $r + s \neq 2$ . Suppose that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies (3.17) for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(a^p - a^2)} \|x\|^p \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**Proof.** In Theorem (3.1), put  $j = -1$  and  $\varphi(x, y) = \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^q + \|x\|^r \|y\|^s)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x) &= \sum_{i=1-j/2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^{2ij}} \varphi(a^{ij} x, 0) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

**Theorem 3.4**

Let  $j \in \{-1, 1\}$  be fixed, and let  $\varphi: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a^{2nj}} \varphi(a^{nj} x, a^{nj} y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y$$

$\in X$ . Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$

be a function satisfies

$$\|f(ax + by) + f(ax - by) - 2a^2 f(x) - 2b^2 f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{3.21}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Furthermore, assume that  $f(0) = 0$  in (3.21) for the case  $j = 1$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2a^{1+j}} \tilde{\varphi}(\frac{x}{a^{1-j/2}}), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (3.1).

**CONCLUSION:**

Finally we conclude that we obtain the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam Rassias stability for a quadratic functional equations.

**REFERENCES:**

- [1] Acz'el, J., Dhombres, J.: Functional Equations in Several Variables. Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [2] Baker, J.: The stability of the cosine equation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80, 411-416 (1980).
- [3] Cholewa, P. W.: Remarks on the stability of functional equations. Aequationes Math. 27, 76-86 (1984).
- [4] Czerwik, S.: On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 62, 59-64 (1992).
- [5] Forti, G. L.: Comments on the core of the direct method for proving Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 295, 127-133 (2004).
- [6] Grabiec, A.: The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a class of functional equations. Publ. Math. Debrecen. 48, 217-235 (1996).
- [7] Hyers, D. H.: On the stability of the linear functional equation. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the U.S.A. 27, 222-224 (1941).
- [8] Hyers, D.H., Isac, G., and Rassias, Th. M.: Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables. Birkh'aufer, Basel, 1998.
- [9] Hyers, D. H., Isac, G., and Rassias, Th. M.: On the asymptotic aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126, 425-430 (1998).

- [10] Hyers, D.H., Rassias, Th. M.: Approximate homomorphisms. *Aequationes Mathematicae* 44, 125–153 (1992).
- [11] Jun, K. W., Kim, H. M.: The generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 274, 867–878 (2002).
- [12] Jun, K.W., Lee, Y. H.: On the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a pexiderized quadratic inequality. *Math. Ineq. Appl.* 4, 93–118 (2001).
- [13] Jung, S.M.: On the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 232, 384–393 (1999).
- [14] Kannappan, Pl.: Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces. *Results Math.* 27, 368–372 (1995).
- [15] Rassias, Th. M.: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72, 297–300 (1978).
- [16] Rassias, Th.M.: On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 251, 264–284 (2000).
- [17] Skof, F.: Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano.* 53, 113–129 (1983).
- [18] Ulam, S. M.: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Chap. VI, Science Ed., Wiley, New York, 1960.
- [19] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics* Chapter IV, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1990
- [20] Christopher G. Small – *Functional Equations and How to Solve Them*. Springer International Edition.

