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Abstract—solution based on Big RDF (Resource Description Framework) graphs with improve processing which populate the semantic web, are the core data structure of the big web data, the natural transposition of bi data on the web. structure improve processing on the big RDF graph. it was present the “baseline operation” of fortunate web big data analytic. this require process, access and manage RDF graphs. A solution to problem is represented by MapReduce model based algorithm try to exploit the computation power offered by the MapReduce processing model in sequence order. this paper provide a survey on MapReduce based algorithm for state-of-the-art proposal using indexing solution.
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INTRODUCTION

The term big data applies to information that can’t be processed or analyzed using traditional processes or tools. Increasingly, organizations today are facing more and more Big data challenges. Challenges include capture, storage, analysis, data creation, search, sharing, transfer, visualization, querying, updating and information privacy.

The process of cleaning data is known to be hard, not only because of the dirtiness of real-life data – up to 30% of an organization’s data could be dirty, but also because it is very expensive – it costs the US economy $3 trillion+ per year in all aspects of data cleaning, the journey of data cleaning is deemed to be extraordinary and to live long for many reasons: the burst of data in volume, the emergence of data formats such as RDF data, the varieties of errors in data, the x-factor of user involvement and above all, the pursuit of high quality data for all businesses. Although RDF is a popular and important data format so far, however, there has been little discussion about how to automatically clean RDF data. RDF is normally dirty since most of them are automatically extracted from online sources, the whole web, where the data itself being read could be wrong. Despite the importance of high quality RDF data, cleaning RDF data is a hard problem, and reports from both academia and industry state that most of RDF cleaning tasks were done by laborious manual evaluation. On the other hand, even if the RDF data at hand is considered to be correct, problems still remain when trying to use them effectively. One main issue is about aligning.

BIG DATA

RDF

We can manage rdf items without destroy of items. After join and reduce some items from database we use it in future but instead of indexing items, using mapreduce algorithm we can use reuse those items in future if we need. By indexing items we can reduce the support or confidence of sensitive rules. Minimum number of graph that need to be modified to indexing a sensitive rule is derived. Try to achieve fewer side effects and indexing.
Big RDF (Resource Description Framework) graphs, which populate the emerging Semantic Web, are the core data structure of the so-called Big Web Data, the “natural” transposition of Big Data on the Web. Managing big RDF graphs is gaining momentum, essentially due to the fact that this task represents the “baseline operation” of fortunate Web big data analytics. Here, it is required to access, manage and process large-scale, million-node (big) RDF graphs, thus dealing with severe spatio-temporal complexity challenges. A possible solution to this problem is represented by the so-called MapReduce-model-based algorithms for managing big RDF graphs, which try to exploit the computational power offered by the MapReduce processing model in order to tame the complexity above. In this so-depicted scientific context, this paper provides a critical survey on MapReduce-based algorithms for managing big RDF graphs, with analysis of state-of-the-art proposals, paradigms and trends, along with a comprehensive overview of future research trends in the investigated scientific area.

Scan-Sharing For Optimizing RDF Graph Pattern Matching on MapReduce

We extend our previous efforts on algebraic optimization of RDF graph pattern queries to enable efficient handling of graph pattern queries with multiple occurrences of a property type; a common scenario in RDF queries. Our approach formalizes and integrates the concept of “cloning” as part of appropriate operators of our NTGA algebra, avoiding the need for multiple scans of input relations required in relational algebra-based query plans. Our extensive experimental evaluations with various workloads have shown the effectiveness and scalability of our intra-query scan-sharing approach. Future directions will investigate additional work sharing opportunities across sub queries that may involve sharing across TG_Join operators.

Different Clustering algorithms for big data analytics: a review

We have considered several clustering methods which are presently and widely used for big data analysis. This work delivered an all-inclusive study of the clustering procedures projected in the literature. Analyzing the online streamed data can be considered in the future work. Still there is a huge gap in examining the big data.

The Memory Challenge in Reduce Phase of MapReduce Applications

Memory has an important role in performance of Reduce phase in many MapReduce applications. It not only can degrade the performance, but also can lead to job failure due to lack of memory. So, if an approach considers memory correctly in the process of decision making about Reduce slots configuration as well as number of Reduce tasks, it can achieve high performance. Our memory aware approach, Mnemonic, considers this fact and achieves high performance compared with Memory Oblivious and
Fine Grain approaches. Our major contributions in this approach are 1) accentuating the impact of memory on intermediate data management, 2) investigating the slot configuration and configure memory size of each slot, and 3) setting the number of Reduce tasks as well as memory size of Reduce slots properly to eliminate job failure and increase the performance of applications.

Scaling Unbound-Property Queries on Big RDF Data Warehouses using RDF storage schema on HBase. We've also proposed a MapReduce join algorithm for SPA RQL BGP processing with evaluation results. As discussed in Section V, current implementation can be enhanced in many ways which we can adopt as future work. We hope we can implement a full featured RDF store with HBase and MapReduce finally.

**PROPOSED WORK**

MapReduce:

The MapReduce algorithm contains two important tasks, namely Map and Reduce:

**Map**: The MapReduce algorithm contains two important tasks, namely Map and Reduce:

**Reduce**: The input data of the Map Phase Join comes from all joined triple queries formed in key/value pairs of the above format. Mappers read values contained in each pair and break them up to find the join variable. For each join variable binding, they produce a key-value pair with the binding as the key and the bindings for all other variables contained in the input pair as the value. The pattern id is also added in the value. Key-value pairs produced by mappers are sorted and grouped together based on their key.

The Map task takes a set of data and converts it into another set of data, where individual elements are broken down into tuples (key-value pairs).

Intermediate Keys - They key-value pairs generated by the mapper are known as intermediate keys.

Combiner - A combiner is a type of local Reducer that groups similar data from the map phase into identifiable sets. It takes the intermediate keys from the mapper as input and applies a user-defined code to aggregate the values in a small scope of one mapper. It is not a part of the main MapReduce algorithm; it is optional.

Shuffle and Sort - The Reducer task starts with the Shuffle and Sort step. It downloads the grouped key-value pairs onto the local machine, where the Reducer is running. The individual key-value pairs are sorted by key into a larger data list. The data list groups the equivalent keys together so that their values can be iterated easily in the Reducer task.

Reducer - The Reducer takes the grouped key-value paired data as input and runs a Reducer function on each one of them. Here, the data can be aggregated, filtered, and combined in a number of ways, and it requires a wide range of processing. Once the execution is over, it gives zero or more key-value pairs to the final step.
Output Phase – In the output phase, we have an output formatter that translates the final key-value pairs from the Reducer function and writes them onto a file using a record writer. Let us try to understand the two tasks Map & Reduce.

MapReduce – Algorithm:

MapReduce implements various mathematical algorithms to divide a task into small parts and assign them to multiple systems. In technical terms, MapReduce algorithm helps in sending the Map & Reduce tasks to appropriate servers in a cluster. These mathematical algorithms may include the following:

- Sorting
- Searching
- Indexing

Sorting - Sorting is one of the basic MapReduce algorithms to process and analyze data. MapReduce implements sorting algorithm to automatically sort the output key-value pairs from the mapper by their keys. Sorting methods are implemented in the mapper class itself. In the Shuffle and Sort phase, after tokenizing the values in the mapper class, the Context class (user-defined class) collects the matching valued keys as a collection.

Searching - Searching plays an important role in MapReduce algorithm. It helps in the combiner phase (optional) and in the Reducer phase. Let us understand how Searching works with the help of an example.

Indexing - Normally indexing is used to point to a particular data and its address. It performs batch indexing on the input files for a particular Mapper.

Type of indexing:
- Hash table indexing
- Tree based table
- Multidimensional indexing
- Bitmap indexing

We have using tree based indexing. It was effective performance with MapReduce.

RESULT

Here we created rdf graph And we focused on data ratio and we what to check its performance so we first apply on to node.

Chart-2: rdf graph input

Then we applied to proposed rdf graph and we get improved result shown below.
MapReduce Algorithm can help to manage big RDF graphs any items. It was achieving effective and efficient mapreduce based algorithms for supporting big RDF graph management. RDF multiple databases and multiple tables are join and reduce it referred to as a cluster. I will try to perform RDF graph we need in future then perform manage on that then we can reuse it. Indexing data structure improve query processing on big RDF graph. After map rules, it contains are selected for modification. So the side effects will be RDF graph.
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