

# Bipolar-valued fuzzy BZMV algebra

<sup>1</sup>EMIMANIMCY.M, <sup>2</sup>Dr. A. FRANCINA SHALINI

<sup>1</sup>PG SCHOLAR, <sup>2</sup>ASSISTANT PROFESSOR  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
NIRMALA COLLEGE FOR WOMEN,  
COIMBATORE, TAMILNADU

**Abstract:** Brower-Zadeh MV – algebra is the result of a natural pasting between Brower – Zadeh algebras and MV – algebras. In this study the Bipolar – valued fuzzy values are introduced to Brower-Zadeh MV – algebras and the Strong s, t cuts are also defined.

**Index Terms** - BZMV- algebra, BZMV<sup>dM</sup>- algebra, Bipolar – valued fuzzy, strong cut

## Introduction:

MV algebra have been introduced by C. CHANG [2] in order to provide an adequate semantic characterisation for Lukasiewicz many valued logics. (i.e) complete with respect to the evaluations of propositional variables in the real unit interval [0,1] Recall in fact that the prototypical example of an MV-algebra is the standard one  $[0,1]MV = \langle [0, 1], \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$  where for all  $x, y \in [0,1]$ ,  
 $x \oplus y = \min \{1, x + y\}$ , and  $\neg x = 1 - x$ .

In 1965, Zadeh introduced the notion of a fuzzy subset of a set. Since then it has become a vigorous area of research in different domains. There have been a number of generalizations of this fundamental concepts such as intuitionistic fuzzy sets, interval- valued fuzzy sets. Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets are an extension of fuzzy sets whose membership degree range is enlarged from the interval [0,1] to [-1,1]. In a bipolar valued fuzzy set, the membership degree 0 means that elements are irrelevant to the corresponding property, the membership degree (0,1] indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the property, and the membership degree [-1,0) indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the implicit counter-property.

Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and intuitionistic fuzzy sets look similar to each other. However, they are different from each other. Thus the bipolar-valued fuzzy set concepts are applied to the BZMV ALGEBRAS and some of the properties are verified.

## Preliminaries:

### [2] Definition 1.1 :

A Brower Zadeh MV algebra (shortly BZMV algebra) is a structure  $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$ , where A is a non empty set of elements, 0 is a constant element of A,  $\neg$  and  $\sim$  are unary operations on A,  $\oplus$  is a binary operation on A. The following axioms hold:

- $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = (y \oplus z) \oplus x$  •  $x \oplus 0 = x$
- $\neg(\neg x) = x$
- $\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x$
- $x \oplus \sim \sim x = \neg 0$
- $x \oplus \sim \sim x = \sim \sim x$
- $\neg \sim [\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y] = \neg(\sim x \oplus \sim \sim y) \oplus \sim \sim y$

### [17] Definition 1.2:

A de - Morgan Brower Zadeh MV algebra (shortly BZMV dM algebra) is a structure  $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$  that satisfies the axioms

- $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = (y \oplus z) \oplus x$
- $x \oplus 0 = x$
- $\neg(\neg x) = x$
- $\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x$
- $\neg x \oplus \sim \sim x = \neg 0$
- $x \oplus \sim \sim x = \sim \sim x$
- $\neg \sim [\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y] = \neg(\sim x \oplus \sim \sim y) \oplus \sim \sim y$

and also the following condition:

$$\sim \neg[\neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus \neg y] = \neg(\sim \sim x \oplus \neg \sim \sim y) \oplus \neg \sim \sim y$$

### Bipolar – valued fuzzy BZMV algebra:

#### Definition 2.1:[29]

Let G be a non empty set. A Bipolar-valued fuzzy set in G is an object having the form  $B = \{(x, \mu^+(x), \mu^-(x)); x \in G\}$  where  $\mu^+ : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\mu^- : G \rightarrow [-1; 0]$  are mapping.

#### Note:

In this paper we use the symbol  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  for the Bipolar-valued fuzzy set

$$B = \{(x, \mu^+(x), \mu^-(x)); x \in G\}$$

**Definition 2.2:**

A Bipolar-valued fuzzy set  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  is called a "Bipolar-valued fuzzy BZMV -algebra" (BFBZMV) of  $M$ , if for every  $x, y$  in  $M$  it satisfies:

- i)  $\mu^+(x) \leq \mu^+(\neg x)$
- ii)  $\mu^-(x) \geq \mu^-(\neg x)$
- iii)  $\inf \mu^+(x) \geq \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\}$
- iv)  $\sup \mu^-(x) \leq \max\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\}$

**Definition 2.3:**

Let  $M$  be a nonempty set endowed with a operation  $\oplus$ , an unary operation  $\sim$  and  $\neg$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying the following axioms,

for all  $x, y, z \in M$ :

- $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = (y \oplus z) \oplus x$
- $x \oplus 0 = x$
- $\neg(\neg x) = x$
- $\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x$
- $x \oplus \sim \sim x = \neg x$
- $x \oplus \sim \sim x = \sim \sim x$
- $\neg \sim [\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y] = \neg(\sim x \oplus \sim \sim y) \oplus \sim \sim y$

For every subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $M$  we define the operators as follows:

$$a \oplus b = \begin{cases} a + b, & \text{if } a + b < 1 \text{ and also if } a, b \in \mu^+ \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \\ a + b, & \text{if } a + b > -1 \text{ and also if } a, b \in \mu^- \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\neg a = \begin{cases} 1 - a, & \text{if } a \in \mu^+ \\ -1 - a, & \text{if } a \in \mu^- \end{cases}$$

$$\sim a = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and also } a \in \mu^+ \\ -1, & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and also } a \in \mu^- \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.1:**

Let  $M = \{0, a, 1\}$ ,  $\neg, \sim, 0$  and define  $\oplus, \neg, \sim$  by the following tables:

$$a \oplus b = \begin{cases} a + b, & \text{if } a + b < 1 \text{ and also if } a, b \in \mu^+ \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \\ a + b, & \text{if } a + b > -1 \text{ and also if } a, b \in \mu^- \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

The operator  $\neg$  is defined as follows:

If  $a \in \mu^+$

X	0	a	1
$\neg x$	1	1-a	0

If  $a \in \mu^-$

X	0	a	1
$\neg x$	1	-1-a	0

The operator  $\sim$  is defined as follows:

If  $a \in \mu^+$

X	0	a	1
$\sim x$	1	0	0

If  $a \in \mu^-$

X	0	A	1
$\sim x$	-1	0	0

Now define  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  as follows:

If  $a \in \mu^+$

X	0	a	1
$\mu^+(x)$	0.7	0.2	0.7

If  $a \in \mu^-$

X	0	a	1
$\mu^-(x)$	-1	-0.3	-1

Then  $M = \langle \{0,a,1\}, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$  is a Bipolar – valued fuzzy BZMV algebra (BFBZMV) of M.

**Proposition 2.1:**

Let  $\mu^+; \mu^-$  be a BZMV algebra of M.

Then  $B = (\mu^+; -\mu^-)$  is a Bipolar-valued fuzzy BZMV algebra of M. Conversely, if  $B = (\mu^+; -\mu^-)$  is a Bipolar-valued fuzzy BZMV algebra of M, then  $(\mu^+; \mu^-)$  are BZMV algebra of M.

**Proof:**

Let  $x,y \in M$ ,

we know that ,

$$\min\{-\mu(x), -\mu(y)\} = -\max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^+(x), \{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(y)\}$$

and also,

$$\sup_{v \in x \oplus y} \{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(x)\} = \max\{\sup_{v \in x \oplus y} \mu_{B_1}^-(v), \sup_{v \in x \oplus y} \mu_{B_2}^-(v)\}$$

$$\leq \max\{\max\{\mu_{B_1}^-(x), \mu_{B_1}^-(y)\}, \max\{\mu_{B_2}^-(x), \mu_{B_2}^-(y)\}\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(x), \mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(y)\}$$

Hence Proved.

**Definition 2.4 :**

Let  $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$  be BZMV algebra and  $S \subseteq A$  be a non empty set containing "0". If S is a sub-structure BZMV algebra of A with respect to " $\oplus$ " and " $\neg$ " then we say that S is a MV algebra of A.

**Lemma 2.1:**

Let  $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$  be BZMV algebra and  $S \subseteq A$  be a non empty set containing "0". Then S is a MV algebra of A iff for all  $x, y \in S$ :

- $x \oplus y \in S$
- $\neg x \in S$

**Definition 2.5 :**

Let  $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$  be BZMV algebra and  $S \subseteq A$  be a non empty set containing "0". If S is a sub-structure BZMV algebra of A with respect to " $\oplus$ " and " $\sim$ " then we say that S is a MV algebra of A .

**Lemma 2.2:**

Let  $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0 \rangle$  be BZMV algebra and  $S \subseteq A$  be a non empty set containing "0". Then S is a MV algebra of A iff for all  $x, y \in S$ :

- $x \oplus y \in S$
- $\sim x \in S$

**Definition 2.6:**

A Bipolar-valued fuzzy set  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  is called a "Bipolar-valued fuzzy MV - algebra" (BFMV) of A, if for every  $x, y \in A$  it satisfies:

- i)  $\mu^+(x) \leq \mu^+(\neg x)$
- ii)  $\mu^-(x) \geq \mu^-(\neg x)$
- iii)  $\inf \mu^+(x) \geq \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\}$
- iv)  $\sup \mu^-(x) \leq \max\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\}$

**Remark 2.1 :**

By the definition  $\neg(\neg x) = x$  we have:

$$\mu^+(\neg(\neg x)) \geq \mu^+(\neg x) \Rightarrow \mu^+(x) \geq \mu^+(\neg x)$$

$$\mu^-(\neg x) \leq \mu^-(\neg(\neg x)) \Rightarrow \mu^-(\neg x) \geq \mu^-(x)$$

Hence conditions (i),(ii) in definition can be written as:

- (i)  $\mu^+(x) = \mu^+(\neg x)$
- ii)  $\mu^-(x) = \mu^-(\neg x)$

**Strong positive t-cut and Strong negative s - cut**

**Definition 3.1:**

Let  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  be a Bipolar-valued fuzzy set of A and  $(s,t) \in [-1,0] \times [0,1]$ .

Then:

- The set  $B_t^+ = \{x \in A; \mu^+(x) \geq t\}$  is called positive t-cut of B.
- The set  $B_s^- = \{x \in A; \mu^-(x) \leq s\}$  is called negative s-cut of B.

- The set  ${}^sB_t^+ = \{x \in A; \mu^+(x) > t\}$  is called strong positive s-cut of B
  - The set  ${}^sB_s^- = \{x \in A; \mu^-(x) < s\}$  is called strong negative s-cut of B.
  - The set  $A_B^{(t,s)} = \{x \in A; \mu^+(x) \geq t, \mu^-(x) \leq s\}$  is called (t,s) cut of B.
  - The set  ${}^sA_B^{(t,s)} = \{x \in A; \mu^+(x) > t, \mu^-(x) < s\}$  is called strong (t,s) cut of B.
- Note that  $A_B^{(t,s)} = B_t^+ \cap B_s^-$

**Proposition 3.1:**

Let  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  be a (BFBZMV) of A. Then the set,  $A_B = \{x \in A; \mu^+(x) = \mu^+(0), \mu^-(x) = \mu^-(0)\}$  is a fuzzy BZMV algebra of A.

**Proof:**

Let  $x, y \in A_B$

Then  $\mu^+(x) = \mu^+(0) = \mu^+(y)$  and  $\mu^-(x) = \mu^-(0) = \mu^-(y)$ .

Thus,

(i)  $\mu^+(\neg x) = \mu^+(x) = \mu^+(0)$

(ii)  $\mu^-(\neg x) = \mu^-(x) = \mu^-(0)$

Hence  $\neg x \in A_B$

Now let  $a \in x \oplus y$ ,

Then (iii)  $\inf_{v \in x \oplus y} \mu^+(v) \geq \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\}$   
 $= \min\{\mu^+(0), \mu^+(0)\}$   
 $= \mu^+(0)$

Hence  $\mu^+(a) \geq \mu^+(0) \rightarrow (1)$

(iv)  $\max\{-\mu(x), -\mu(y)\} = -\min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$

$\inf(-\mu(v)) = -\sup(\mu(v))$

$\sup(-\mu(v)) = -\inf(\mu(v))$

Hence by definition of (BFBZMV) and fuzzy BZMV algebra the proof is clear.

Hence Proved

**Lemma 3.1 :**

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are (BFBZMV) of A. Then  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is a (BFBZMV) of A.

**Proof:**

If  $x, y \in B_1 \cap B_2$  then  $x, y \in B_1$  and  $x, y \in B_2$ . Since  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are (BFBZMV), hence:

(i)  $\min\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^+(x)\} = \min\{\mu_{B_1}^+(x), \mu_{B_2}^+(x)\}$

$= \min\{\mu_{B_1}^+(\neg x), \mu_{B_2}^+(\neg x)\}$

$= \min\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^+(\neg x)\}$

(ii)  $\min\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(x)\} = \min\{\mu_{B_1}^-(x), \mu_{B_2}^-(x)\}$

$= \min\{\mu_{B_1}^-(\neg x), \mu_{B_2}^-(\neg x)\}$

$= \min\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(\neg x)\}$

for every  $v \in x \oplus y$

(iii)  $\inf\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^+(v)\} = \min\{\inf \mu_{B_1}^+(v), \inf \mu_{B_2}^+(v)\}$   
 $\geq \min\{\min\{\mu_{B_1}^+(x), \mu_{B_1}^+(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{B_2}^+(x), \mu_{B_2}^+(y)\}\}$

(iv)  $\sup\{\mu_{B_1 \cap B_2}^-(v)\} \leq \max\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\}$

$= \max\{\mu^-(0), \mu^-(0)\}$

$= \mu^-(0)$

Hence  $\mu^-(a) \leq \mu^-(0) \rightarrow (2)$

Now by, (1) and (2) and using lemma we can conclude

$\mu^+(a) = \mu^+(0)$  and  $\mu^-(a) = \mu^-(0)$ .

Hence  $a \in S$

and this follows that  $x \oplus y \subseteq A$ .

Hence Proved.

**Proposition 3.2 :**

Let S be a subset of A and  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  be a Bipolar -valued fuzzy set determined as:

$\mu^+(x) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } x \in S \\ l & \text{if } x \notin S \end{cases}$

$\mu^-(x) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } x \in S \\ n & \text{if } x \notin S \end{cases}$

Where  $k, l \in [0, 1]$  and  $m, n \in [-1, 0]$  with  $k \geq l, m \leq n$ . Then B is a BFBZMV of A iff S is fuzzy BZMV algebra of A.

**Proof:**

Let S be a fuzzy BZMV algebra of A.

If x,y ∈ A are arbitrary hence:

If x ∈ S, then ¬x ∈ S.

Hence  $\mu^+(x) = \mu^+(\neg x), \mu^-(x) = \mu^-(\neg x)$

If x ∉ S, then ¬x ∉ S.

Hence  $\mu^+(x) = \mu^+(\neg x), \mu^-(x) = \mu^-(\neg x)$

we consider the following cases:

**Case 1:**

Let x,y ∈ S. Then  $x \oplus y \subseteq S$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{\mu^+(v)\} &= k \\ &= \min\{k, k\} \\ &= \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sup\{\mu^+(v)\} = m$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max\{m, m\} \\ &= \max\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:**

Let x,y ∉ S. Then,

$$\mu^+(x) = 1 = \mu^+(y)$$

$$\mu^-(x) = n = \mu^-(y)$$

so,  $\min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\} = \min\{1, 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 \\ &\leq \inf\{\mu^+(v)\} \end{aligned}$$

**Case 3:**

Let x ∈ S and y ∉ S. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+(x) &= k & \mu^+(y) &= 1 \\ \mu^-(x) &= m & \mu^-(y) &= n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\} &= \min\{k, 1\} \\ &= 1 \\ &\leq \inf\{\mu^+(v)\} \\ \max\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\} &= \max\{m, n\} \\ &= n \\ &= \sup\{\mu^-(v)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence B is a (BFBZMV) of A.

Conversely,

Let x,y ∈ S.

Since B is (BFBZMV):  $\mu^+(x) = k = \mu^+(\neg x)$

Hence (¬x) ∈ S.

Now let a ∈ x ⊕ y

By the hypothesis we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{\mu^+(v)\} &\geq \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\} \\ &= \min\{k, k\} \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sup\{\mu^-(v)\} \leq \max\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max\{m, m\} \\ &= m \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu^+(a) = k$  and  $\mu^-(a) = m$ . This follows a ∈ S, hence  $x \oplus y \subseteq S$  and this proves that S is a fuzzy BZMV of A. Hence Proved.

**Proposition 3.3 :**

If Bipolar - valued fuzzy set B = (μ<sup>+</sup>; μ<sup>-</sup>) is a (BFBZMV) of A, then for all

(s,t) ∈ [-1,0] × [0,1] the non empty strong positive t- cut of B and the non empty strong negative s-cut of B are fuzzy BZMV algebras of A.

**Proof:**

Let B = (μ<sup>+</sup>; μ<sup>-</sup>) be a (BFBZMV) of A and assume that  ${}^sB_t^+$  and  ${}^sB_t^-$  are non empty for all (s,t) ∈ [-1,0] × [0,1].

Let 1, m ∈  ${}^sB_t^+$  and p, q ∈  ${}^sB_t^-$ . Then:

$$\mu^+(1), \mu^+(m) > 1$$

$$\mu^-(p), \mu^-(q) < s$$

Now,

$$\text{Inf}\{\mu^+(v)\} \geq \min\{\mu^+(l), \mu^+(m)\} > t$$

Where  $v \in x \oplus y$ , Which implies that  $l \oplus m \subseteq {}^s B_t^+$ .

Now, let  $x \in {}^s B_t^+$ .

Since B is a (BFBZMV) we have:

$$\mu^+(\neg x) = \mu(x) > t$$

Hence  $\neg x$  and  $\sim x \in {}^s B_t^+$ . So  ${}^s B_t^+$  is a BZMV algebra of A.

In other hand we have:

$$\text{Sup}\{\mu^-(v)\} \leq \max\{\mu^-(p), \mu^-(q)\} < s$$

Hence  $p \oplus q \in {}^s B_t^-$ .

If  $x \in {}^s B_t^-$ . Since B is a (BFBZMV), then

$$\mu^-(\neg x) = \mu^-(x) < s$$

Hence  $\neg x \in {}^s B_t^-$  and  $\sim x \in {}^s B_t^-$  and this proved that  ${}^s B_t^-$  is a fuzzy BZMV algebra of A.

Hence Proved.

### Corollary 3.1:

If Bipolar valued fuzzy set  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  is a (BFBZMV) of A, then for all

$(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$  the non empty strong positive  $(s, t)$ -cut of B is a fuzzy BZMV algebra of A.

**Proof:**

We have,  $A_B^{(t,s)} = {}^s B_t^+ \cap {}^s B_t^-$ .

proof follows by proposition 3.3,

If Bipolar - valued fuzzy set  $B = (\mu^+; \mu^-)$  is a (BFBZMV) of A, then for all  $(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$  the non empty strong positive  $t$ -cut of B and the non-empty strong negative  $s$ -cut of B are fuzzy BZMV algebras of A.

Hence Proved.

### REFERENCES:

- [1] Blok W., Pigozzi D., Algebraizable Logics. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc, 396 (77). Amer. Math Soc. Providence, 1989.
- [2] Chang C. C., Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 88, 467490, (1958).
- [3] Hajek P., Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic. Kluwer Academy Press, 1998
- [4] Mundici D., Averaging the truth-value in Lukasiewicz logic. Studia Logica, 55(1): 113-127, 1995.
- [5] Mundici D., Tensor Products and the Loomis- Sikorski Theorem for MVAlgebras. Advances in Applied Mathematics, 22, 227248, 1999.
- [6] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, Rev. ed., 1948.
- [7] C. C. Chang, Proof of an axiom of Lukasiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 87 (1958) pp. 55-56.
- [8] J. Lukasiewicz and A. Tarski, Untersuchungen uber den Aussagenkalkul, Comptes Rendus des Seances de la Soci6t6 des Sciences et des Lettres de Varsovie, Classe III, vol. 23 (1930) pp. 30-50.
- [9] C. A. Meredith, The dependence of an axiom of Lukasiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 87 (1958) p. 54.
- [10] A. Rose and J. B. Rosser, Fragments of many valued statement calculi. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 87 (1958) pp. 1-53. 50
- [11] M. H. Stone, The theory of representations for Boolean algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 40 (1936) pp. 37-111.
- [12] A. Tarski, Contributions to the theory of models I, Indag. Math. vol. 16 (1954) pp. 572- 581.
- [13] M. Wajsberg, Beitrage zum Melaussagenkalkul I, Monatshefte fiir Mathematik und Physik vol. 42 (1935) pp. 221-242.
- [14] Birkhoff, G. Lattice Theory, Third edition, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXV American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [15] Cattaneo, G.; Ciucci, D.; Giuntini, R.; Konig, M. Algebraic structures related to many valued logical systems. II. Equivalence among some widespread structures, Fund. Inform., 63 (2004), 357373.10 A. Yousefi, A. Borumand Saeid
- [16] Cattaneo, G.; Ciucci, D. Shadowed sets and related algebraic structures, Fund. Inform., 55 (2003), 255284.
- [17] Cattaneo, G.; Giuntini, R.; Pilla, R. BZMV dM algebras and Stonian MV - algebras (applications to fuzzy sets and rough approximations), Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 108 (1999), 201-222.
- [18] Cattaneo, G. Abstract approximation spaces for rough theories, Rough sets in knowledge discovery, 1, 5998, Stud. Fuzziness Soft Comput., 18, Physica, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [19] Cattaneo, G.; Ciucci, D. BZW algebras for an abstract approach to roughness and fuzziness, IPMU 2002, July 15 2002, Annecy, France, Proceedings, ESIAUniversite de Savoie, 2002.
- [20] Chang, C.C. Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1958), 467490. 51
- [21] Pedrycz, W. Shadowed Sets: Representing and Processing Fuzzy Sets, IEEE Transaction on Systems, Man and Cybernetics - Part B: Cybernetics, 28 (1998), 103109.
- [22] Pedrycz, W. Shadowed sets: bridging fuzzy and rough sets, Rough fuzzy hybridization, 179199, Springer, Singapore, 1999.
- [23] A. Borumand Saeid, Bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK=BCI-algebras, World Applied Sci.J. 7, No.11, (2009), 1404-1411.
- [24] R. A. Borzooei, A. Hasankhani, M. M. Zahedi, and Y. B. Jun, On hyper-Kalgebras, 52(1), (2000), 113-121.
- [25] Sh. Ghorbani, A. Hasankhani, and E. Eslami, Hyper MV -Algebras, Set-valued Math. and Appl., 1 No.2, (2008), 205-222.
- [26] Y. B. Jun, Min Su Kang, and Hee Sik Kim, Fuzzy structures of hyper-MV -deductive systems in hyper-MV -algebras, Computers and Math. with Appl., 59, (2010), 2982-2989.
- [27] K. M. Lee, Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations, Proc. Int. Conf. on Intel- ligent Technologies, (2000), 307-312.

- [28] K. M. Lee, Comparison of interval-valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and bipolar-valued fuzzy sets, J. Fuzzy Logic Intelligent Systems, 14, No. 2, (2004), 125-129.
- [29] M. Musa Hasankhani and A. Borumand Saeid An. U.V.T., Hyper MV-algebras defined by Bipolar - valued fuzzy sets.
- [30] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control, 8, (1965), 338-353.
- [31] Tommaso Flamino and Franco Montanga, Dip. di Matematica and science Informatics, An Algebraic Approach to states on MV-algebras. 52

