AN INVESTIGATION ON GREEN ENGINEERING AND PRACTICE OF ANALYTICAL NETWORK PROCESS (ANP) DECISION

¹Vikas, ²Pratik Vashisht

¹M. Tech. Scholar, ²Assistant Professor Manufacturing & Automation Engineering CBS Group of Institutions

Abstract: Because of today's increasing industrialization, huge environmental pressures are being generated, and new environmental problems are being discovered almost every day as a consequence of this industrialization. Because of widespread awareness of environmental dangers and economic pressure to maximize advantages, it is necessary to create new manufacturing methods. Green Manufacturing, also known as Environmental Manufacturing (ECM), is the only solution to these problems. Multinational and small businesses across the globe are embracing environmentally friendly manufacturing methods. Despite the fact that green production has increased quickly in recent decades, changes as a result of green production will not occur overnight, but rather develop over time. It is a matter of continuous improvement, and the industries cannot make the necessary changes on their own; the government must also play an important role in creating an environment that is conducive to environmental production. Production that is environmentally friendly It saves both time and money. Green manufacturing enables us to produce more products in the same amount of space as non-green production.

Keywords: Green Engineering, Network Process, Green Manufacturing

INTRODUCTION

I.

There are several factors that extend the limits of green production. Frost & Sullivan recently carried out a poll of industry executives to identify some of the green drivers. An increasing number of managers now believe that greening will help them compete on the market more successfully in the long run. Organizations also tend to meet their communities' implicit expectations.

a) Competitiveness: the inherent desire of manufacturing enterprises to enhance their processes and competitive edge capabilities. This may be seen in technology, new product and process development and business possibilities.

b) Corporate Social Responsibility: the increasing demand on production companies to be more accountable for its social and environmental impacts. Companies want a "green" image to promote themselves.

b) Legislation: Production companies must try continuously to comply with existing and future tougher environmental requirements.



Fig. 1: Drivers of Green

A complete image of the product "life cycle" begins from the source of resources (mine, well, forest and ocean), extending through processing and distribution – all nine yards – to reuse/recycle. Think about a "basic" product like a drink of metal. First, ore is mined, then transformed into raw material, then shaped into bills or plates and then sheets, produced by deep drawing, labeled, packed and packaged and delivered into distributors, shops/outlets and consumers, then used and ultimately recycled (back into the material flow).



Fig. 2: A Product Life cycle

The Way Ahead

Until now, companies have always worked to attain competitiveness by using the idea of the production of quality and technology goods at a cheap cost. Production methods have been developed to speed up and improve goods simply economically. The step toward green manufacturing will be followed by a major shift in the design, procurement, production, distribution, disposal and recycling of their goods by businesses. With the growing experience of businesses adopting green manufacturing methods, it has now been shown without question that the rewards periods for such investments are usually from 1 to 3 years. But industry cannot make this transformation by itself. Government must play a very significant role in building the appropriate climate in which green manufacturers do not short-term lose their competitive advantage.

Theoretical Background

As an environmentally-friendly production technique, waste and pollution are minimized while this objective may be accomplished through product and process design. Its aim is to promote the future by achieving sustainability via the preservation of natural resources. The cleaner production or green manufacturing is becoming increasingly worried by producers in emerging nations. It is evident that noise, air and water pollution and more energy consumed by industries are pollutants. All these pollutants are major environmental problems caused by intensive industrialism. It is thus time to promote green production. During the green production path, a stakeholder has a decisive choice to acquire a deeper knowledge of pollutant sources and how to minimize or remove them. The word sustainability is also linked to ecologically sound production as Sustainable production is described as the development of goods that utilize non-polluting methods, save energy and natural resources and that are economically healthy and safe for workers, communities and customers. Both concepts are interconnected and same.

Growing companies see sustainable development as an essential survival principle in a competitive world (Sarkis 1998). Any company participating in environmental or green efforts to guarantee that all processes, products and manufacturing operations respond appropriately to current environmental issues while retaining profitability is a sustainable business. Sustainability covers people, the earth and profit in three ways. Sustainable business practice balances all three aspects utilizing a three-pronged approach combining the environment, company growth and society to influence sustainable development and sustainable distribution (Kleef and Roome 2005). Sustainable company growth may generate value for consumers, investors and the environment. A sustainable company must fulfill consumer demands while treating the environment properly. Active and proactive demands as well as rules specified by producer groups affect sustainable business practices (SBP) (Sarkis 1998). Government and legal restrictions have produced the reactive pressure, whereas formed of the. Sustainable business technology competitiveness in position problems need sustainable models to develop. making (that supports in various assessments taking all those aspects into account (thus compelled embrace has developed the procedure address issues, which compares the distribution of the objective across components and assesses the element that has more impact on the objective.

It is focused on environmental issues in production, continuously integrating environmentally friendly industrial processes and products to mitigate air, water, and land pollution; reduce waste source; and minimise risks to humans and other species (Hart, 1995). Green production contributes to waste and pollution reduction by reducing waste and pollution (Hart, 1995). Green manufacturing aims to save resources and energy while also avoiding the use of potentially harmful materials. The goal of green manufacturing is to minimise environmental impact throughout the product's life cycle. Global problems and increasing trends in green development need the adoption of environmentally friendly manufacturing techniques by businesses as soon as possible. Time, energy and fuel are money. Making the same product using less resources and/or energy is a smart money-making technique. In other words, waste prevention efficiency means both eco-friendly and money efficiency. There are a lot of waste in the production process and the product may be avoided.

II. PRESENT WORKS & METHODOLOGY

The analytical network process (ANP) utilized here is the extension of the analytical hierarchy (AHP) process. The fundamental distinction in ANP is the interdependency of components, while AHP has no interdependency between factors. In the current

challenge, first of all a model is built using the ANP software and after creating a model pair, a sensible comparison between distinct element clusters takes account of the interrelationship between those components and the various questionnaires. After the comparison the consistency and validity of the result is verified, mistake is eliminated and the end result is a matrix- and table-shaped synthesis. The importance of these 5 major variables and 31 sub factors relies on the results received from the software and discussed in the research outcome and debate section.Before the research was completed, we covered some of the fundamental procedures to make the priority choice between various variables using ANP, which would allow us to grasp the process simply.

The following are some of the fundamental procedures for utilizing the ANP program. This will make the job easy to comprehend.

Step 1. Determine control hierarchies for comparing components that incorporate both system criteria and the sub-criteria for comparing the system parts. If in certain instances a hierarchy cannot be identified because its requirements are entirely irrelevant, then leave this hierarchy out. Comparisons may sometimes simply be done in terms of benefits, opportunities, costs and risks in the aggregate without utilizing criteria and sub-criteria. However, some hierarchy/model/outline/stages should be made on which to basically perform the job.

Step2. Determine the system clusters with their members for each control criteria or sub-criterion.

Step3. Systematically organize clusters, components, nodes to reflect the system and the hierarchy.

Step4. Determine the method you want to take in analyzing each cluster or element or influences other clusters and elements in respect of a criteria. The meaning (being affected or influential) must apply to all control hierarchy criteria.

Step5. Construct the model on which the parent node/element/criteria relies for each control criterion, which element depends on which component, which criteria rely on other critères and other factors. Join the arrow nodes and make the required model functional.

Step6. Following the stages above and after creating the basic model, do pair comparisons with that criteria on the clusters, as they affect the clusters and the clusters. The obtained weights are subsequently used to weigh the items in the column clusters according to the control criteria of the super matrix. Assign a zero if no impact exists.

Step7: Compare the components in the clusters by their impact on each element in another cluster they are linked to (or elements in their own cluster). Comparisons are performed with regard to a control hierarchy criteria or sub-criterion.

Step8. Construct the super matrix for each control criteria by designing the clusters in the order they are numbered. Enter the priorities obtained from the paired comparisons as portions (sub columns) of the relevant column of a super matrix in the appropriate place. Check for each factor's validity and consistency and eliminate the mistake.

Step9. Calculate the limiting priority for each super matrix as to whether it is irreductible or reducible whether one is a simple or multiple root and whether or not the system is cyclical. Whether or whether the result validates and deletes the mistake.

Step 10 Synthesize the priority limits by weighing each super matrix limited by weight of its control criteria and combining the resultant super matrices. Synthesize the findings according to the priorities.

III. RESULTS

Since it is generally recognized that various elements have varied priority in connection to environmentally aware manufacturing, in order to reach them more widely, priorities may simply be anticipated using super decision-making software. Different questionnaires produced throughout our course of study provide information on the priorities. Their index of inconsistency is likewise shown in the figure with the intended value. This priority table will assist scientists assess the relative significance of all these variables and the choice on environmental manufacturing is based on the judgment. Given the fact that expectations and wants differ, and priority of differ, the categories are handled one by one in the order in which they are listed. The importance of the priority is also addressed for the whole group/model.

Research and Design Result/Priority Process: depicted.1, the conclusion that reliability and durability (RD) are the most important factors in this cluster, and the (POPR) the second, whereas simplicity standardisation (SS) is the least important factor in the

		_ @ 🛛
+	3. Results	
Normal 💷		Hybrid 💷
	Inconsistency: 0.09713	
CWEC		0.11391
ESOP		0.10106
HS		0.11135
POPR		0.15150
POPRC		0.12683
RD		0.15622
SS		0.10155
SWEA		0.13758

Fig. 3: Result for Research and Design Process

Waste control outcome/priority: Figure 4. results, in this category, the ability to minimize waste and to maximize utility pollution from a product (PFP) is the second most important factor and that the Waste Reduction Rate of Production Facilities (WRRPF) is the second most important factor. It is also easy to determine the significance for additional variables and to classify these factors appropriately.

		_ @ 🛛
+	3. Results	
Normal 😐		Hybrid 🖵
	Inconsistency: 0.09713	
AOFD		0.10457
ATMWA MTU		0.11910
MOWC		0.18126
PA		0.10303
PFP		0.19477
POWRP		0.11277
WRRPF		0.18451

Fig. 4: Result for Waste Control

Packaging control result/priority: The result of the packing 5, the part inspection Pass Rate (IPROGP) is the most important factor in this cluster, followed by an additional packing material processing (APOPM) and non-packaging proportions (POPN) as a priority and a smaller factor in packaging simplification (PS).

+	3. Results	
Normal 😐		Hybrid 💷
	Inconsistency: 0.09713	
AOPIP		0.11673
APOPM		0.18352
EODI		0.13632
GPC		0.11982
IPROGP		0.20751
PONP		0.14475
PS		0.09135

Fig. 5: Result for Packaging Control

4.2.4 Manufacturing control result/priority: Fig. 6 shows the outcome for manufacturing control and shows that the capacities of pollution prevention during production (COPPDP) and environmental pollution during production (EPDP) is nearly the same.

+	3. Results
Normal 🖵	Hybrid 🛁
	Inconsistency: 0.09713
COPPDP	0.29152
EEDP	0.09359
EPDP	0.27018
ERITP	0.08235
WRC	0.26236
	Completed

Fig. 6: Result for Manufacturing Control

Quality control outcome/priority: The quality control results are presented in figure 7 and are seen here the ability to identify faulty Green Product (ATIFGP) is the key element in this, and variables.

+	3. Results	Hybrid 🖵
	Inconsistency: 0.09713	
ATIFGP		0.38569
ATOGC		0.24164
CSWRTGD		0.16845
CWORFGD		0.20422
	Fig. 7: Result for Quality Control	

Entire Model Result/Priority: Result/Priority of the whole model: The outcome for the whole model can be evaluated on the basis of the priority of all the components, given in fig. 8 and the priority for the whole class may be assessed by figure.

+	3. Results					
Normal 🔟					Hybrid -	
		Incon	sistency: 0.09713			
MANUFACTU~					0.139	
PACKAGING~					0.140	
QUALITY C~					0.134	
Research~					0.203	
Waste con~					0.382	
			Completed Comparison			
		Coj	py to clipboard			

Fig. 8: Combined Results

The following priority table 4.7 for the whole sample is based on the software results and used to assign relative significance to various variables. The percentage significance of various variables also appears may the chosen factors.

Table 1: Relative Importance

Category	Rank	Percentage Importance
Waste Control	1 st	38.23%
Research and Design Process	2 nd	20.38%
Packaging Control	3 rd	14.04%
Manufacturing Control	4 th	13.93%
Quality Control	5 th	13.40%

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study has created an estimation model and evaluation of factors on the basis of extensive workable techniques, integrating commentaries by areas, followed by a computation of weights by ANP for the assessment of factors. Finally, the model is utilized to identify effective ECM factors in any organizational structure. The following conclusions may be made from the findings of this study:

1. The suggested model includes processes, management, production -factor assessments.

2. The significance of evaluation variables in each category can be observed from the outcome for strategic topics based on evidence analytical analysis relevance major assessed 9 given in the following.

+	3. Results	
Normal 🔟		Hybrid 🖵
	Inconsistency: 0.09713	
MANUFACTU~		0.13936
PACKAGING~		0.14043
QUALITY C~		0.13402
Research~		0.20380
Waste con~		0.38239
	Completed Comparison	
	Copy to clipboard	

Fig. 9: Priority for Different Categories

3. The waste control process plays the most important role in environmentally aware production.

The environmental issues are growing every day and environmentally aware production is the greatest way to solve these concerns. But the environment and market are dynamic and change from time to time, so that the best solution/alternative is to utilize fresh methods. Investigators, academics, scientists and industrialists already operate in this area, but there is still some gap and a lot of effort has to be done to eliminate this gap. Many of the organizations are now implementing environmental-conscious manufacturing (ECM) and others have been striving to carry out this pressure, which ECM needs to assess and update in a timely way. As new technology enters every day, this has to be upgraded to take use of this technology. This prompted the researchers to apply new ECM methods to get better outcomes. The Analytical Network Process (ANP) also gives excellent results, but it has certain limitations such that assessments of new variables with new methods are constantly required. It is also better to identify the variables which influence the ECM via some study and to monitor the real effect of the factors in order to get a more trustworthy and accurate outcome. The ANP is extensively used in the selection of projects, strategic decision-making, optimum timing and many other areas to solve a specific issue. However, there are some limits in ANP, such as the uncertainty issue, the difficulty of quantifying the exact proportion of weights across criteria, the fuse problem and another problem, which will need to be improved.

References

- [1] Genevois M. E., I. Bereketli (2009), Green product design for EEE, International Conference on Future Computers & Industrial Engineering, 2009.
- [2] Jiang, Z. and H. Zhang (2006), A vector projection method to evaluating machine tool alternatives for green manufacturing, *Technology and Innovation Conference*, 2006.
- [3] Ji-hong Z., Jun-guang (2009), Study progress on the cleaner production and environmental management system of ISO14000, *International Conference on Future Bio Medical Information Engineering*, Vol.9, june 2009, pp 23-27.
- [4] Ji-hong Z., Jun-guang, (2009), Study progress on the cleaner production and environmental management system of ISO14000, *International Conference on Future Bio Medical Information Engineering*, Vol. 5, may 2009.
- [5] Liang, D. H. (2012) Cloud Computing and Green Management, International Conference on Intelligent System Design and Engineering Application, Vol. 7, 6-7 Jan. 2012, pp.639-642.
- [6] Panyaluck U. (2004), Roadmap to green supply chain electronics: Design for manufacturing implementation and management, *International IEEE Conference on Asian Green Electronics (AGEC)*, Vol. 45, March 2004, pp.169-173.
- [7] Rao R. V., Bleicher F., Singh D., Kalyankar V., Dorn C. (2011) Selecting environmentally conscious manufacturing program using combinatorial mathematics approach, *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 46, 20-21 Sep 2011, pp. 4011-4033.
- [8] Rusinko C. A., (2007) Green Manufacturing: An Evaluation of Environmentally Sustainable Manufacturing, *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 54, no. 3, august 2007.
- [9] Saaty T.L., (2005), Theory and applications of the analytic network process: decision making with benefits, opportunities, costs and risks, *IEEE Conference*, 2005.

- [10] Sangwan K. S., (2006), Performance value analysis for justification of green manufacturing systems, *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Systems*, Vol.5, no.1, 2006, pp.59-73.
- [11] Ahmed M.Dief Industrial system engineering- University of Regina A System model for green manufucturing
- [12] Anastas P. T. and Zimmerman J. B. (2007), Design through the 12 principles of green engineering, *Engineering Management Review*, *IEEE*, Vol.35(3), 2007, pp. 16-18.
- [13] Anastas, P. T., Zimmerman J. B. (2007), Design through the 12 principles of green engineering. *Engineering Management Review*, *IEEE*, Vol. 35, no. 3, may 2007, pp.16-19.
- [14] Baoju H., Jian L. (2011) Virtual Green Manufacturing and its Application in manufacturing, *International Conference on advanced manufacturing technology new requirements to China's manufacturing*, Vol.11, no.5, june 2011, pp.244-248.

