

A Survey on AI-Based Proctoring System for Online Tests

¹Afak R Makandar, ²Amaan Ali Tegginamani, ³Prasad K Kadapatti, ⁴Vinodkumar Poleshi, ⁵Chetana R Shivanagi

Basaveshwar Engineering College
Bagalkote, Karnataka

Abstract- Recently remote learning has boomed. But there has been no proper solution to academic examinations. Some universities collected assignments, where students can copy and paste from the internet, while some have implemented remote proctoring, where a manual proctor keeps watching on student activities. If the way we are living is to be the new normal there is a need to find some solution. In this paper, we have proposed a solution to develop an AI-based integrated system that can help in preventing cheating in examinations. The system catches fraudulent activities and stores the proof. This system will be secure and cost-effective.

Keywords—remote learning, proctoring, online tests.

I. INTRODUCTION

Academics have shifted to online mode. This poses a major challenge not only from a learning point-of-view but also from the perspective of examinations. Conducting examinations without any wrongdoing is a major task to be solved. In India, The number of internet users has nearly doubled in the past 6 years. This proved to be a boon for academics as many students could continue their education. This also facilitated examinations to go online which brought the concept of online proctoring at the academic level. Web based administering alludes to a computerized type of invigilation utilizing cutting edge observing software. A proctored exam allows the invigilators to invigilate remotely. They use video, audio, and various antichecking features to maintain the exam's credibility. Manual online proctoring in the remote examination is a difficult task as many students cannot be invigilated at the same time. During manually proctored examinations at the centers, a teacher can physically monitor students using all the senses. They can notice the sounds, movements of students and can easily ensure smooth conduct of the event. Online examinations restrict supervision as the teacher is not physically present at the location. A good remote online proctoring system should facilitate movement and sound detection. Road accidents come with a high chance of fatality. This is because, our country lacks the best emergency health care facilities. With the help of an accelerometer, the accident can be precisely detected. This can be then used to send emergency alert message to the nearest police station and health care center.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The expanded ubiquity of online assessment presents advantages and difficulties to understudies, workforce, and scholarly foundations. Geographic areas and time regions not, at this point present hindrances for understudies to give tests since assessments can be conveyed almost anywhere on the planet with a web association and secure software. So the idea is to create an AI system that will monitor the student with the webcam and microphone and with that teacher can monitor many students at a time. The system should also keep a record of probable malpractices. The logs of malpractices can be used to manually verify the student in case of suspicion. The system should also keep track of tests such that any kind of power failure must not interrupt the test and students can re-login and start from the point where the test was closed.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

In paper [2] the authors have highlighted that Scholarly untruthfulness in the web based swindling climate of distance training has acquired foothold in the previous decade. With a couple of basic keystrokes, understudies can track down a wide cluster of online administrations for recruit to compose research papers, complete schoolwork tasks, or enlist for the benefit of the understudy on record to take the whole online course. While foundations in advanced education have considered online to be as a vehicle to expand understudy enlistments adding to their primary concern, the quantity of Internet swindling organizations to help scholarly unscrupulousness has additionally expanded quickly. Difficulties managing scholarly untruthfulness in the online region have gotten more widespread, leaving personnel and school overseers in conflict, how to forestall such conduct in both conventional and online classes.

In paper [1] This paper was planned to give a reasonable correlation of highlights of internet delegating frameworks right now inactivity as of this date. A program should also make a significant effort to establish rules and policies for test security and make sure that examinees and others are aware of them. It should provide clear and public information about how and where infractions and attempts to compromise the integrity of a test can be reported, including anonymous channels. Data collected need to be secured against hacking and theft.

In paper [4] The motivation behind this examination was to think about online test results from administered versus non-

delegated online tests. Test execution of 147 understudies tried out various segments of an online course was contrasted utilizing direct blended impacts models and almost a large portion of the understudies having no delegating and the rest of to utilize internet administering programming. Understudies scored on normal 17 focuses lower and utilized altogether less time in online tests that utilized administering programming versus non-delegated tests. Huge evaluation difference and diverse time use happened on various tests, both across and inside segments of similar course where a few Understudies utilized test delegating test proctoring software and others didn't.

IV. DRAWBACKS IN EXISTING SYSTEM

Existing online systems have one manual proctor watching 10 students simultaneously which is not cost-effective and we have to rely on a manual proctor sitting at home and monitoring students. If we scale the traditional online proctoring systems then we need many proctors to conduct an examination. We have to rely on the proctor's ability to catch malpractices and when the proctor is focusing on one student, other students can cheat at that time. So simultaneous proctoring is not achieved. The traditional classroom proctoring is also less effective as the teacher to student ratio is around 1: 50. And if a student misses an exam rescheduling exam for that particular student is resource-intensive.

V. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In this paper, we have proposed a web-based system to identify, and analyze the malpractices carried out by students during online examinations using Artificial intelligence and voice recognition

Registration: Students register on a portal for the first time submit personal details, id card and their photo which is saved in the database and their photo will be used to verify them before the exam.

Face Recognition: A Webcam is installed into the computer of a student or the front camera if the student is giving an exam on a smartphone, Using Face Recognition the student is recognized and if the face matches with the stored face image then the student is verified and allowed to give the exam. During the exam the image of the student is continuously taken and if the face is not matched with the stored image its log is saved in the database.

Multiple face detection: If more than one person is seen in the frame then it will also be logged in the database as malpractice.

Head pose detection: In MCQ-based exams where there is no need for pen and paper, the head position of students will be analyzed and if it appears that a student is looking away from the screen its log will also be saved.

Mobile Phone detection: If a student is found using a mobile phone it will also be logged in the database as a malpractice.

Browser Tab switch detection: While giving an exam if the student switches the current tab it will be considered as malpractice and will be logged.

Browser Tab switch detection: While giving an exam if the student switches the current tab it will be considered as malpractice and will be logged.

Voice detection: A student can use voice assistants to cheat in the exam for this we take voice samples of the student's environment through the microphone and if the frequency of sound is greater than the threshold it is also logged.

User-friendly Interface: Easy to use interface for students, admin can add new tests easily and can generate results. Admin can also check malpractice logs for manual verification of logs if a student applies for re-evaluation on being disqualified on basis of malpractice detection.

VI. METHODOLOGY USED




Fig 1. System Methodology

1. Registration of students using personal details and face image on the platform.
2. Registration of each exam with the latest face image which will be verified with an image stored in DB.

3. The Proctoring System starts when a student starts the exam.
4. Tab switching will be logged.
5. Image of the student will be taken every 10 secs and matched with an image taken before the exam if the image doesn't match it will also be logged.
6. Multiple Face detection and no face available on the screen will also be logged.
7. If multiple voices are detected it will also be logged.
8. Head position tracking will be used in certain exams which don't require pen and paper e.g. verbal ability.
9. If a student is found doing fraudulent activities in logs it will lead to disqualification.
10. Students will be given a chance to appeal for manual verification in which logs will be checked manually to ascertain the claim.

CONCLUSION

There is a high demand for AI proctored systems as online proctoring has increased in recent times. It is possible to create an AI proctoring system with high accuracy. Logging fraudulent activity is important to handle disputes. Making a proctoring system that is mobile compatible is the need of the hour as most students don't have computers. Through this project, we will try to show that online proctoring is the future, and using online proctoring cheating in exams can be reduced drastically.

REFERENCES:

1. John K. Baldry and Rachel S. Chalmers "Proctoring in online assessments", 2019.
2. Saptarshi Chakraborty "Detection of cheating in online exams using deep learning", 2019.
3. Wendy M. Fasso and Benjamin W. Galagher "Proctoring online exams", 2020.
4. Ramya M "An automated proctoring system for online exams", 2020.
5. Mohammad Rashedul Islam. "Survey on online exam proctoring techniques", 2020.
6. Quan Nguyen "A comprehensive review of online proctoring technologies", 2021.
7. Raisa Javed "A systematic literature review on online exam proctoring", 2021.
8. Navid Naderi and Mostafa Jafari. "A review of AI-based proctoring systems for e-learning", 2021.
9. Bheemappa H. A "Online examination monitoring system using AI techniques", 2021.
10. P. Bharath Kumar and S. Sowmya "An overview of online examination proctoring systems using AI", 2021